
UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(MARK ONE)

[X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2001

ΛR

[] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to ____

Commission file number 333-31929

ECHOSTAR DBS CORPORATION (Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

COLORADO 84-1328967

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

5701 S. SANTA FE DRIVE LITTLETON, COLORADO (Address of principal executive offices)

80120 (Zip code)

(303) 723-1000 (Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

NOT APPLICABLE

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

INDICATE BY CHECK MARK WHETHER THE REGISTRANT (1) HAS FILED ALL REPORTS REQUIRED TO BE FILED BY SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 DURING THE PRECEDING 12 MONTHS (OR FOR SUCH SHORTER PERIOD THAT THE REGISTRANT WAS REQUIRED TO FILE SUCH REPORTS), AND (2) HAS BEEN SUBJECT TO SUCH FILING REQUIREMENTS FOR THE PAST 90 DAYS. YES X NO

AS OF MAY 14, 2001, REGISTRANT'S OUTSTANDING COMMON STOCK CONSISTED OF 3,000 SHARES OF COMMON STOCK.

THE REGISTRANT MEETS THE CONDITIONS SET FORTH IN GENERAL INSTRUCTION (H)(1)(a) AND (b) OF FORM 10-Q AND IS THEREFORE FILING THIS FORM 10-Q WITH THE REDUCED DISCLOSURE FORMAT.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1.	Financial Statements	
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets - December 31, 2000 and March 31, 2001 (Unaudited)	1
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2000 and 2001 (Unaudited)	2
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2000 and 2001 (Unaudited)	3
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	4
Item 2.	Management's Narrative Analysis of Results of Operations	20
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	None
	PART II - OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	25
Item 2.	Changes in Securities and Use of Proceeds	*
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	*
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	*
Item 5.	Other Information	None
Item 6.	Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K	30

- -----

^{*} This item has been omitted pursuant to the reduced disclosure format as set forth in General Instruction (H)(1)(a) and (b) of Form 10-Q.

ECHOSTAR DBS CORPORATION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (In thousands)

	DECEMBER 31, 2000	MARCH 31, 2001
		(Unaudited)
ASSETS		
Current Assets: Cash and cash equivalents Marketable investment securities Trade accounts receivable, net of allowance for	\$ 91,572 4,992	\$ 7,573
uncollectible accounts of \$19,934 and \$9,409, respectively Insurance receivable	275,321 106,000 159,665 25,201	246,629 106,000 148,066 26,837
Total current assets	662,751 82,393 1,329,181 709,817 86,249	535,105 78,295 1,370,481 705,206 84,060
Total assets	\$ 2,870,391 =======	\$ 2,773,147 =======
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER'S DEFICIT Current Liabilities:	\$ 144.263	ф 77 667
Trade accounts payable Deferred revenue Accrued expenses	\$ 144,263 282,939 615,693	\$ 77,667 327,120 601,661
Advances from affiliates, net	758,814 19,642	732,658 15,940
Total current liabilities	1,821,351	1,755,046
Long-term obligations, net of current portion: 9 1/4% Seven Year Notes	375,000 1,625,000 11,644	375,000 1,625,000 11,502
Long-term deferred satellite services revenue and other long-term liabilities	56,047	75,692
Total long-term obligations, net of current portion	2,067,691	2,087,194
Total liabilities	3,889,042	3,842,240
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 5) Stockholder's Deficit: Common Stock, \$.01 par value, 3,000 shares authorized, issued and outstanding		
Additional paid-in capital Deferred stock-based compensation Accumulated other comprehensive income Accumulated deficit	1,440,252 (58,193) (7) (2,400,703)	1,439,652 (50,137) (2,458,608)
Total stockholder's deficit	(1,018,651)	(1,069,093)
Total liabilities and stockholder's deficit	\$ 2,870,391 =======	\$ 2,773,147 =======

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

ECHOSTAR DBS CORPORATION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (In thousands) (Unaudited)

THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31,

	MARC	п эт,
	2000	2001
REVENUE:		
DISH Network:	¢ 470 00F	ф 700 F00
Subscription television services Other	\$ 476,305 1,934	\$ 793,538 3,436
Total DISH Network	478,239	796,974
DTH equipment sales and integration services	60,815	39,685
Other	29,004	21,647
Total revenue	568,058	858,306
COSTS AND EXPENSES:		
DISH Network Operating Expenses:		
Subscriber-related expenses	203,960	319,337
Customer service center and other	56,049	64,783
Satellite and transmission	12,324	8,810
Total DISH Network operating expenses	272,333	392,930
Cost of sales - DTH equipment and integration services	46,928	28,774
Cost of sales - other	8,115	13,676
Marketing:		
Subscriber promotion subsidies - promotional DTH equipment	172,138	190, 265
Subscriber promotion subsidies - other	83,888	83,893
Advertising and other	23,165	26,554
Total marketing expenses	279,191	300,712
General and administrative	53,595	71,715
Non-cash, stock-based compensation	14,009	7,456
Depreciation and amortization	39,343	55,505
Total costs and expenses	713,514	870,768
Operating loss	(145, 456)	(12,462)
Other Income (Expense):		
Interest income	3,202	3,326
Interest expense	(48,617)	(48,068)
Other	(279)	(701)
Total other income (evnence)	(45,604)	(45 442)
Total other income (expense)	(45,694) 	(45,443)
Loss before income taxes	(191,150)	(57,905)
Income tax provision, net	(33)	(37,303)
2.00.00 Car p. 0.202011/ 100 1111111111111111111111111111	=======	=======
Net loss	\$(191,183)	\$ (57,905)

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

ECHOSTAR DBS CORPORATION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In thousands) (Unaudited)

MARCH 31, -----2000 2001 CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: Net loss \$(191,183) \$ (57,905) Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash flows from operating activities: Deferred stock-based compensation recognized 14,009 7,456 Depreciation and amortization 39,343 55,505 Amortization of debt discount and deferred financing costs ... 820 820 Change in reserve for excess and obsolete inventory 305 (679)Change in long-term deferred satellite services revenue and other long-term liabilities 7,448 19,645 Other, net 1,007 1,350 Changes in current assets and current liabilities 1,115 (7,427)(127,136) Net cash flows from operating activities 18,765 CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: 14,770 4,999 Sales of marketable investment securities Change in cash reserved for satellite insurance due to depreciation on related satellites (Note 4) 4,098 Purchases of property and equipment (18,055)(81,861)Net cash flows from investing activities (72,764)(3,285)CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: Non-interest bearing advances from affiliates 81.118 (26.156)Repayments of mortgage indebtedness and notes payable (3,844) (4,217)Net cash flows from financing activities 76,901 (30,000) Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents (53, 520)(83,999) Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period 159,761 91,572 Cash and cash equivalents, end of period \$ 106,241 \$ 7,573 ======= Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information Forfeitures of deferred non-cash, stock-based Compensation 600

THREE MONTHS ENDED

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

1. ORGANIZATION AND BUSINESS ACTIVITIES

Principal Business

EchoStar DBS Corporation ("EDBS," or the "Company"), is a wholly-owned subsidiary of EchoStar Broadband Corporation ("EBC"), which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of EchoStar Communications Corporation ("ECC" and together with its subsidiaries "EchoStar"), a publicly traded company on the Nasdaq National Market. Unless otherwise stated herein, or the context otherwise requires, references herein to EchoStar shall include ECC, EBC, EDBS and all direct and indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries thereof. EDBS' management refers readers of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to EchoStar's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three months ended March 31, 2000. Substantially all of EchoStar's operations are conducted by subsidiaries of EDBS. EDBS is dependent on EBC and ECC for the continued funding of its operations, to the extent that EDBS does not engage in its own capital funding efforts. The operations of EchoStar include two interrelated business units (Note 6):

- The DISH Network a direct broadcast satellite ("DBS") subscription television service in the United States. As of March 31, 2001, we had approximately 5.7 million DISH Network subscribers.
- EchoStar Technologies Corporation ("ETC") engaged in the design, development, distribution and sale of DBS set-top boxes, antennae and other digital equipment for the DISH Network ("EchoStar receiver systems"), the design, development and distribution of similar equipment for international direct-to-home ("DTH") satellite and other systems and the provision of uplink center design, construction oversight and other project integration services for international DTH ventures.

Since 1994, EchoStar has deployed substantial resources to develop the "EchoStar DBS System." The EchoStar DBS System consists of EchoStar's FCC-allocated DBS spectrum, six DBS satellites ("EchoStar I," "EchoStar II," "EchoStar II," "EchoStar IV," "EchoStar V," and "EchoStar VI"), EchoStar receiver systems, digital broadcast operations centers, customer service facilities, and other assets utilized in its operations. EchoStar's principal business strategy is to continue developing its subscription television service in the United States to provide consumers with a fully competitive alternative to cable television service.

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X for interim financial information. Accordingly, these statements do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Operating results for the three months ended March 31, 2001 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2001. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000. Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform with the current year presentation.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses for each reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The components of comprehensive loss, net of tax, are as follows (in thousands):

	==•	NTHS ENDED H 31,		
	2000	2001		
	(Unaudited)			
Net loss Unrealized holding losses on available-for-sale	\$(191,183)	\$ (57,905)		
securities arising during period	(81)	7		
	=======	=======		
Comprehensive loss	\$(191,264)	\$ (57,898)		
	=======	=======		

Accumulated other comprehensive income presented on the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets consists of the accumulated net unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities, net of deferred taxes.

INVENTORIES

Inventories consist of the following (in thousands):

	DECEMBER 31, 2000	MARCH 31, 2001
Finished goods - DBS	\$ 94,997 40,069 23,101 8,879 2,461 (9,842)	\$ 87,896 42,917 18,772 6,179 1,465 (9,163)
	\$ 159,665	\$ 148,066
	=======	========

4. SATELLITE INSURANCE

As a result of the failure of EchoStar IV solar arrays to fully deploy and the failure of 28 transponders to date, a maximum of approximately 14 of the 44 transponders on EchoStar IV are available for use at this time. Due to the normal degradation of the solar arrays, the number of available transponders will further decrease over time. In addition to the transponder and solar array failures, EchoStar IV experienced anomalies affecting its thermal systems and propulsion system. There can be no assurance that further material degradation, or total loss of use, of EchoStar IV will not occur in the immediate future.

In September 1998, EchoStar filed a \$219.3 million insurance claim for a constructive total loss under the launch insurance policies covering EchoStar IV. The satellite insurance consists of separate identical policies with different carriers for varying amounts which, in combination, create a total insured amount of \$219.3 million.

The insurance carriers offered EchoStar a total of approximately \$88 million, or 40% of the total policy amount, in settlement of the EchoStar IV insurance claim. The insurers allege that all other impairment to the satellite occurred after expiration of the policy period and is not covered. EchoStar strongly disagrees with the position of the insurers and has filed an arbitration claim against them for breach of contract, failure to pay a valid insurance claim and bad faith denial of a valid claim, among other things. There can be no assurance that EchoStar will receive the amount claimed or, if EchoStar does, that EchoStar will retain title to EchoStar IV with its reduced capacity.

At the time EchoStar filed its claim in 1998, EchoStar recognized an impairment loss of \$106 million to write-down the carrying value of the satellite and related costs, and simultaneously recorded an insurance claim receivable for the same amount. EchoStar continues to believe it will ultimately recover at least the amount originally recorded and does not intend to adjust the amount of the receivable until there is greater certainty with respect to the amount of the final settlement.

As a result of the thermal and propulsion system anomalies, EchoStar reduced the estimated remaining useful life of EchoStar IV to approximately 4 years during January 2000. EchoStar will continue to evaluate the performance of EchoStar IV and may modify its loss assessment as new events or circumstances develop.

The in-orbit insurance policies for EchoStar I, EchoStar II, and EchoStar III expired July 25, 2000. The insurers have to date refused to renew insurance on EchoStar I, EchoStar II and EchoStar III on reasonable terms. Based on, among other things, the insurance carriers' unanimous refusal to negotiate reasonable renewal insurance coverage, EchoStar believes that the carriers colluded and conspired to boycott EchoStar unless EchoStar accepts their offer to settle the EchoStar IV claim for \$88 million.

Based on the carriers' actions, EchoStar has added causes of action in its EchoStar IV demand for arbitration for breach of the duty of good faith and fair dealing, and unfair claim practices. Additionally, EchoStar filed a lawsuit against the insurance carriers in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado asserting causes of action for violation of Federal and State Antitrust laws. While EchoStar believes it is entitled to the full amount claimed under the EchoStar IV insurance policy and believes the insurance carriers are in violation of Antitrust laws and have committed further acts of bad faith in connection with their refusal to negotiate reasonable insurance coverage on EchoStar's other satellites, there can be no assurance as to the outcome of these proceedings. During March 2001, EchoStar voluntarily dismissed the antitrust lawsuit without prejudice. EchoStar has the right to re-file an antitrust action against the insurers again in the future.

The indentures related to the outstanding senior notes of EDBS contain restrictive covenants that require EchoStar to maintain satellite insurance with respect to at least half of the satellites it owns. Insurance coverage is therefore required for at least three of EchoStar's six satellites currently in orbit. EchoStar has procured normal and customary launch insurance for EchoStar VI. This launch insurance policy provides for insurance of \$225.0 million. The EchoStar VI launch insurance policy expires in July 2001. EchoStar is currently self-insuring EchoStar I, EchoStar II, EchoStar III, EchoStar IV and EchoStar V. To satisfy insurance covenants related to the outstanding EDBS senior notes, as of March 31, 2001, EchoStar has reclassified approximately \$78 million from cash and cash equivalents to restricted cash and marketable investment securities on its balance sheet. The reclassification will continue until such time, if ever, as the insurers are again willing to insure EchoStar's satellites on commercially reasonable terms. The amount of cash reserved for satellite insurance will be increased by approximately \$60 million in the event EchoStar has not procured satellite insurance by July 2001. EchoStar believes it has in-orbit satellite capacity sufficient to expeditiously recover transmission of most programming in the event one of its in-orbit satellites was to fail. However, the cash reserved for satellite insurance is not adequate to fund the construction, launch and insurance for a replacement satellite in the event of a complete loss of a satellite and programming continuity could not be assured in the event of multiple satellite losses.

5. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

VisionStar

During November 2000, one of EchoStar's wholly owned subsidiaries purchased a 49.9% interest in VisionStar, Inc. VisionStar holds an FCC license, and is constructing a Ka-band satellite, to launch into the 113 W.L. orbital slot. Together with VisionStar, EchoStar has requested FCC approval to acquire control over VisionStar by increasing its ownership of VisionStar to 90%, for a total purchase price of approximately \$2.8 million. EchoStar has also provided loans to VisionStar totaling less than \$10 million to date for the construction of their satellite and expects to provide additional funding to VisionStar in the future. EchoStar is not obligated to

finance the full remaining cost to construct and launch the VisionStar satellite, but VisionStar's FCC license currently requires construction of the satellite to be completed by April 30, 2002 or the license could be revoked. EchoStar currently expects to continue to fund loans and equity contributions for construction of the satellite in the near term from cash on hand, and expects that it may spend approximately \$79.5 million during 2001 for that purpose subject to, among other things, FCC action.

DirecTV

During February 2000, EchoStar filed suit against DirecTV and Thomson Consumer Electronics/RCA in the Federal District Court of Colorado. The suit alleges that DirecTV has utilized improper conduct in order to fend off competition from the DISH Network. According to the complaint, DirecTV has demanded that certain retailers stop displaying EchoStar's merchandise and has threatened to cause economic damage to retailers if they continue to offer both product lines in head-to-head competition. The suit alleges, among other things, that DirecTV has acted in violation of federal and state anti-trust laws in order to protect DirecTV's market share. EchoStar is seeking injunctive relief and monetary damages. EchoStar subsequently amended the Complaint adding claims against Circuit City, Radio Shack and Best Buy, alleging that these retailers are engaging in improper conduct that has had an anti-competitive impact on EchoStar. It is too early in the litigation to make an assessment of the probable outcome. During October 2000, DirecTV filed a motion for summary judgment asking that the Court enter judgment in DirecTV's favor on certain of EchoStar's claims. DirecTV's motion for summary judgment remains pending.

The DirecTV defendants filed a counterclaim against EchoStar. DirecTV alleges that EchoStar tortiously interfered with a contract that DirecTV allegedly had with Kelly Broadcasting Systems, Inc. ("KBS"). DirecTV alleges that EchoStar "merged" with KBS, in contravention of DirecTV's contract with KBS. DirecTV also alleges that EchoStar has falsely advertised to consumers about its right to offer network programming. DirecTV further alleges that EchoStar improperly used certain marks owned by PrimeStar, now owned by DirecTV. Finally, DirecTV alleges that EchoStar has been marketing National Football League games in a misleading manner. Discovery has been stayed until the next scheduling conference on June 13, 2001. The amount of damages DirecTV is seeking is as yet unquantified. However, in an arbitration proceeding related to DirecTV's allegations with respect to KBS, DirecTV has claimed damages totaling hundreds of millions of dollars. It is too early in the litigation to make an assessment of the probable outcome. EchoStar and KBS intend to vigorously defend against DirecTV's allegations in the litigation and in the arbitration.

Fee Dispute

EchoStar had a contingent fee arrangement with the attorneys who represented EchoStar in the litigation with News Corporation. The contingent fee arrangement provides for the attorneys to be paid a percentage of any net recovery obtained by EchoStar in the News Corporation litigation. The attorneys have asserted that they may be entitled to receive payments totaling hundreds of millions of dollars under this fee arrangement.

During mid-1999, EchoStar initiated litigation against the attorneys in the Arapahoe County, Colorado, District Court arguing that the fee arrangement is void and unenforceable. In December 1999, the attorneys initiated an arbitration proceeding before the American Arbitration Association. The litigation has been stayed while the arbitration is ongoing. The arbitration hearing commenced April 2, 2001 and continued through April 13, 2001. The hearing could not be completed during that time period and has been continued until August 7, 2001, when it will resume until it is presumably completed. While there can be no assurance that the attorneys will not continue to claim a right to hundreds of millions of dollars, the damage model the attorneys presented during the arbitration was for \$56 million. EchoStar believes that even that amount significantly overstates the amount the attorneys should reasonably be entitled to receive under the fee agreement but it is not possible for EchoStar to predict what the decision of the three person arbitrator panel will be with any degree of certainty. EchoStar continues to vigorously contest the attorneys' interpretation of the fee arrangement, which EchoStar believes significantly overstates the magnitude of its liability.

WIC Premium Television Ltd.

During July 1998, a lawsuit was filed by WIC Premium Television Ltd., an Alberta corporation, in the Federal Court of Canada Trial Division, against General Instrument Corporation, HBO, Warner Communications, Inc., John Doe, Showtime, United States Satellite Broadcasting Company, Inc., EchoStar Communications Corporation, and two of EchoStar's wholly-owned subsidiaries, Echosphere Corporation and Dish, Ltd. The lawsuit seeks, among other things, an interim and permanent injunction prohibiting the defendants from activating receivers in Canada and from infringing any copyrights held by WIC. It is too early to determine whether or when any other lawsuits or claims will be filed.

During September 1998, WIC filed another lawsuit in the Court of Queen's Bench of Alberta Judicial District of Edmonton against certain defendants, including EchoStar. WIC is a company authorized to broadcast certain copyrighted work, such as movies and concerts, to residents of Canada. WIC alleges that the defendants engaged in, promoted, and/or allowed satellite dish equipment from the United States to be sold in Canada and to Canadian residents and that some of the defendants allowed and profited from Canadian residents purchasing and viewing subscription television programming that is only authorized for viewing in the United States. The lawsuit seeks, among other things, an interim and permanent injunction prohibiting the defendants from importing hardware into Canada and from activating receivers in Canada, together with damages in excess of \$175 million.

EchoStar filed motions to dismiss each of the actions for lack of personal jurisdiction. The Court in the Alberta action recently denied EchoStar's Motion to Dismiss, which EchoStar appealed. The Alberta Court also granted a motion to add more EchoStar parties to the lawsuit. EchoStar Satellite Corporation, EDBS, EchoStar Technologies Corporation, and EchoStar Satellite Broadcast Corporation have been added as defendants in the litigation. The newly added defendants have also challenged jurisdiction. The Court of Appeals denied EchoStar's appeal and the Alberta Court has asserted jurisdiction over all of the EchoStar defendants. The Court in the Federal action has stayed that case pending the outcome of the Alberta action. The case is now currently in discovery. EchoStar intends to vigorously defend the suit. It is too early to make an assessment of the probable outcome of the litigation or to determine the extent of any potential liability or damages.

Broadcast network programming

Until July 1998, EchoStar obtained distant broadcast network channels (ABC, NBC, CBS and FOX) for distribution to its customers through PrimeTime 24. In December 1998, the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida entered a nationwide permanent injunction requiring PrimeTime 24 to shut off distant network channels to many of its customers, and henceforth to sell those channels to consumers in accordance with certain stipulations in the injunction.

In October 1998, EchoStar filed a declaratory judgment action against ABC, NBC, CBS and FOX in Denver Federal Court. EchoStar asked the court to enter a judgment declaring that its method of providing distant network programming did not violate the Satellite Home Viewer Act and hence did not infringe the networks' copyrights. In November 1998, the networks and their affiliate groups filed a complaint against EchoStar in Miami Federal Court alleging, among other things, copyright infringement. The court combined the case that EchoStar filed in Colorado with the case in Miami and transferred it to the Miami court. The case remains pending in Miami. While the networks have not sought monetary damages, they have sought to recover attorney fees if they prevail.

In February 1999, the networks filed a "Motion for Temporary Restraining Order, Preliminary Injunction and Contempt Finding" against DirecTV, Inc. in Miami related to the delivery of distant network channels to DirecTV customers by satellite. DirecTV settled this lawsuit with the networks. Under the terms of the settlement between DirecTV and the networks, some DirecTV customers were scheduled to lose access to their satellite-provided distant network channels by July 31, 1999, while other DirecTV customers were to be disconnected by December 31, 1999. Subsequently, PrimeTime 24 and substantially all providers of satellite-delivered network

programming other than EchoStar agreed to this cut-off schedule, although EchoStar does not know if they adhered to this schedule.

In December 1998, the networks filed a Motion for Preliminary Injunction against EchoStar in the Miami court, and asked the court to enjoin EchoStar from providing network programming except under limited circumstances. A preliminary injunction hearing was held on September 21, 1999. The court took the issues under advisement to consider the networks' request for an injunction, whether to hear live testimony before ruling upon the request, and whether to hear argument on why the Satellite Home Viewer Act may be unconstitutional, among other things.

In March 2000, the networks filed an emergency motion again asking the court to issue an injunction requiring EchoStar to turn off network programming to certain of its customers. At that time, the networks also argued that EchoStar's compliance procedures violate the Satellite Home Viewer Improvement Act. EchoStar opposed the networks' motion and again asked the court to hear live testimony before ruling upon the networks' injunction request.

During September 2000, the Court granted the Networks' motion for preliminary injunction, denied the Network's emergency motion and denied EchoStar's request to present live testimony and evidence. The Court's original order required EchoStar to terminate network programming to certain subscribers "no later than February 15, 1999," and contained other dates which would be physically impossible to comply with. The order imposes restrictions on EchoStar's past and future sale of distant ABC, NBC, CBS and Fox channels similar to those imposed on PrimeTime 24 (and, EchoStar believes, on DirecTV and others). Some of those restrictions go beyond the statutory requirements imposed by the Satellite Home Viewer Act and the Satellite Home Viewer Improvement Act. For these and other reasons EchoStar believes the Court's order is, among other things, fundamentally flawed, unconstitutional and should be overturned. However, it is very unusual for a Court of Appeals to overturn a lower court's order and there can be no assurance whatsoever that it will be overturned.

On October 3, 2000, and again on October 25, 2000, the Court amended its original preliminary injunction order in an effort to fix some of the errors in the original order. The twice amended preliminary injunction order required EchoStar to shut off, by February 15, 2001, all subscribers who are ineligible to receive distant network programming under the court's order. EchoStar has appealed the September 2000 preliminary injunction order and the October 3, 2000 amended preliminary injunction order. On November 22, 2000, the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit stayed the Florida Court's preliminary injunction order pending EchoStar's appeal. At that time, the Eleventh Circuit also expedited its consideration of EchoStar's appeal.

During November 2000, EchoStar filed its appeal brief with the Eleventh Circuit. During December 2000, the Satellite Broadcasting and Communications Association submitted an amicus brief in support of EchoStar's appeal. The Consumer Federation of America and the Media Access Project have also submitted an amicus brief in support of EchoStar's appeal. The Networks have responded to EchoStar's appeal brief and the amicus briefs filed by the Consumer Federation of America and the Media Access Project and the Satellite Broadcasting and Communications Association. In December 2000, the Department of Justice filed a motion to intervene with respect to EchoStar's constitutional challenge of the Satellite Home Viewers Act, and the National Association of Broadcasters filed an amicus brief in support of the Networks' position in the appeal. During January 2001, EchoStar filed its reply appeal brief and asked the Eleventh Circuit for an opportunity to respond to the amicus brief filed by the National Association of Broadcasters and the brief filed by the Department of Justice. On January 11, 2001, the Networks advised the Eleventh Circuit that they did not object to EchoStar's filing a response to the National Association of Broadcasters' amicus brief or the Department of Justice's brief. On January 19, 2001, EchoStar filed its supplemental brief responding to the Department of Justice's brief. On January 23, 2001, the Department of Justice filed a motion to strike EchoStar's supplemental brief or for an opportunity to reply to EchoStar's supplemental brief. On February 2, 2001, without explanation, the Eleventh Circuit issued an order striking EchoStar's supplemental reply and denying EchoStar an opportunity to file a response to the Department of Justice's motion to intervene. The Eleventh Circuit has currently set oral argument for May 24, 2001. EchoStar

cannot predict when the Eleventh Circuit will rule on its appeal, but it could be as early as May 2001. EchoStar's appeal effort may not be successful and EchoStar may be required to comply with the Court's preliminary injunction order on short notice. The preliminary injunction could force EchoStar to terminate delivery of distant network channels to a substantial portion of its distant network subscriber base, which could also cause many of these subscribers to cancel their subscription to EchoStar's other services. Such terminations would result in a small reduction in EchoStar's reported average monthly revenue per subscriber and could result in a temporary increase in churn.

Starsight

During October 2000, Starsight Telecast, Inc., a subsidiary of Gemstar-TV Guide, filed a suit for patent infringement against EchoStar and certain of its subsidiaries in the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina, Asheville Division. The suit alleges infringement of United States Patent No. 4,706,121 (the "121 Patent") which relates to certain electronic program guide functions. EchoStar has examined this patent and believes that it is not infringed by any of its products or services.

In December 2000, EchoStar filed suit against Gemstar - TV Guide International, Inc. (and certain of its subsidiaries) in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado alleging violations by Gemstar of various federal and state anti-trust laws and laws governing unfair competition. The lawsuit seeks an injunction and monetary damages. The Court recently denied a motion by Gemstar to transfer this case to the Western District of North Carolina.

In February 2001, Gemstar filed patent infringement actions against EchoStar in District Court in Atlanta, Georgia and in the International Trade Commission (ITC). These suits allege infringement of United States Patent Nos. 5,252,066, 5,479,268 and 5,809,204 which all relate to certain electronic program guide functions. In addition, the ITC action alleges infringement of the 121 Patent which is asserted in the North Carolina case. In the Atlanta District Court case, Gemstar seeks damages and an injunction. We expect the Atlanta and North Carolina cases will be stayed pending resolution of the ITC action. ITC actions typically proceed according to an expedited schedule. EchoStar expects the ITC action to go to trial by the end of 2001. EchoStar further expects that the ITC will issue an initial determination by March of 2002 and that a final determination will be issued by June 2002. While the ITC cannot award damages, it can issue exclusion orders that would prevent the importation of articles that are found to infringe the asserted patents. In addition, it can issue cease and desist orders that would prohibit the sale of infringing products that had been previously imported. EchoStar has examined these patents and believes they are not infringed by any of EchoStar's products or services. EchoStar will vigorously contest the ITC, North Carolina and Atlanta allegations of infringement and will, among other things, challenge both the validity and enforceability of the asserted patents.

During 2000, Superguide Corp. also filed suit against EchoStar, DirecTv and others in the same North Carolina Court, alleging infringement of United States Patent Nos. 5,038,211, 5,293,357 and 4,751,578 which relate to certain electronic program guide functions, including the use of electronic program guides to control VCRs. It is EchoStar's understanding that these patents may be licensed by Superguide to Gemstar, although Gemstar has not asserted the patents against EchoStar. Nevertheless, Gemstar was recently added by the Court as a party to this lawsuit. EchoStar has examined these patents and believes that they are not infringed by any of its products or services. EchoStar intends to vigorously defend against this action and assert a variety of counterclaims.

In the event it is ultimately determined that EchoStar infringes on any of the aforementioned patents EchoStar may be subject to substantial damages, and/or an injunction that could require EchoStar to materially modify certain user friendly electronic programming guide and related features it currently offers to consumers. It is too early to make an assessment of the probable outcome of the suits.

IPPV Enterprises

IPPV Enterprises, LLC and MAAST, Inc. filed a patent infringement suit against EchoStar in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware. The suit alleges infringement of 5 patents. The patents disclose various systems for the implementation of features such as impulse-pay-per view, parental control and category lock-out. One patent relates to an encryption technique. Three of the patents have expired. The trial is expected to commence July 9, 2001. EchoStar is vigorously defending against the suit based, among other things, on non-infringement, invalidity and failure to provide notice of alleged infringement.

In the event it is ultimately determined that EchoStar infringes on any of these patents, EchoStar may be subject to substantial damages, and/or an injunction with respect to the two unexpired patents, that could require EchoStar to materially modify certain user friendly features it currently offers to consumers. It is too early to make an assessment of the probable outcome of the suit.

Retailer Class Actions

EchoStar has been sued by retailers in three separate class actions. In two separate lawsuits filed in the District Court, Arapahoe County, State of Colorado and the United States District Court for the District of Colorado, respectively, Air Communication & Satellite, Inc. and John DeJong, et. al. filed lawsuits on October 6, 2000 on behalf of themselves and a class of persons similarly situated. The plaintiffs are attempting to certify nationwide classes allegedly brought on behalf of persons, primarily retail dealers, who were alleged signatories to certain retailer agreements with EchoStar Satellite Corporation. The plaintiffs are requesting the Court to declare certain provisions of the alleged agreements invalid and unenforceable, to declare that certain changes to the agreements are invalid and unenforceable, and to award damages for lost commissions and payments, charge backs, and other compensation. The plaintiffs are alleging breach of contract and breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing and are seeking declaratory relief, compensatory damages, injunctive relief, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest. EchoStar intends to vigorously defend against the suits and to assert a variety of counterclaims. It is too early to make an assessment of the probable outcome of the litigation or to determine the extent of any potential liability or damages.

Satellite Dealers Supply, Inc. filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas on September 25, 2000, on behalf of itself and a class of persons similarly situated. The plaintiff is attempting to certify a nationwide class on behalf of sellers, installers, and servicers of satellite equipment who contract with EchoStar and claims the alleged class has been "subject to improper chargebacks." The plaintiff alleges that (1) EchoStar charged back certain fees paid by members of the class to professional installers in violation of contractual terms; (2) EchoStar manipulated the accounts of subscribers to deny payments to class members; and (3) EchoStar misrepresented to class members who owns certain equipment related to the provision of satellite television service. The plaintiff is requesting a permanent injunction and monetary damages. EchoStar intends to vigorously defend the lawsuit and to assert a variety of counterclaims. It is too early to make an assessment of the probable outcome of the litigation or to determine the extent of any potential liability or damages.

EchoStar is subject to various other legal proceedings and claims which arise in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the amount of ultimate liability with respect to those actions will not materially affect EchoStar's financial position or results of operations.

Meteoroid Events

Meteoroid events pose a potential threat to all in orbit geosynchronous satellites including EchoStar's DBS satellites. While the probability that EchoStar's satellites will be damaged by meteoroids is very small, that probability increases significantly when the Earth passes through the particulate stream left behind by various comets.

Due to the current peak in the 11-year solar cycle, increased solar activity is likely for the next year. Some of these solar storms pose a potential threat to all in-orbit geosynchronous satellites including EchoStar's DBS satellites. The probability that the effects from the storms will damage our satellites or cause service interruptions is generally very small.

Some decommissioned spacecraft are in uncontrolled orbits which pass through the geostationary belt at various points, and present hazards to operational spacecraft including EchoStar's DBS satellites. The locations of these hazards are generally well known and may require EchoStar to perform maneuvers to avoid collisions.

6. SEGMENT REPORTING

Financial Data by Business Unit (in thousands)

Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 131, "Disclosures About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information" ("FAS No. 131") establishes standards for reporting information about operating segments in annual financial statements of public business enterprises and requires that those enterprises report selected information about operating segments in interim financial reports issued to shareholders. Operating segments are components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available and regularly evaluated by the chief operating decision maker(s) of an enterprise. During 2000, under this definition, we were operating as three separate business units. However, beginning 2001, it was determined that the chief operating decision maker of our Company regularly evaluates the following two separate business units. All prior year amounts have been restated to conform to the current year presentation.

	DISH NETWORK	ECHOSTAR TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION	ELIMINATIONS AND OTHER, NET	ECHOSTAR CONSOLIDATED TOTAL	OTHER ECHOSTAR ACTIVITY	EDBS AND SUBSIDIARIES
THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2000 Revenue Net income (loss)	\$ 484,448	\$ 52,469	\$ 28,804	\$ 565,721	\$ 2,337	\$ 568,058
	(190,764)	(4,494)	10,128	(185,130)	(6,053)	(191,183)
THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2001 Revenue Net income (loss)	\$ 817,991	\$ 18,728	\$ 25,211	\$ 861,930	\$ (3,624)	\$ 858,306
	(221,867)	(7,788)	62,614	(167,041)	109,136	(57,905)

7. FINANCIAL INFORMATION FOR SUBSIDIARY GUARANTORS

With the exception of certain de minimis domestic and foreign subsidiaries (collectively, the "Non-Guarantors"), the 9 1/4% Seven Year Notes and 9 3/8% Ten Year Notes are fully, unconditionally and jointly and severally guaranteed by all subsidiaries of EDBS (collectively, the "Subsidiary Guarantors").

The combined assets, stockholder's equity, net loss and operating cash flows of the Non-Guarantors represent less than 1% of the combined and consolidated assets, stockholder's equity, net loss and operating cash flows of the combined Subsidiary Guarantors for the three months ended March 31, 2000 and 2001. As a result, the Subsidiary Guarantors and Non-Guarantors are combined in the following tables. Consolidating financial information is presented for the following entities (in thousands):

EDBS Parent Company Only (referred to as "EDBS - PC") Subsidiary Guarantors and Other Subsidiaries Consolidating and Eliminating Adjustments (referred to as "C&E") Consolidated EDBS (referred to as "EDBS")

Consolidated Balance Sheets - As of December 31, 2000

	EDBS - PC	SUBSIDIARY GUARANTORS AND OTHER	C&E	EDBS
ASSETS				
Current Assets: Cash and cash equivalents Marketable investment securities Trade accounts receivable, net of allowance for	(79,319) 4,992	\$ 170,891 	\$ 	\$ 91,572 4,992
uncollectible accounts of \$19,934	106,000 	275,321		275,321 106,000
Inventories Other current assets	66	159,665 25,135		159,665 25,201
Total current assets	31,739 82,393	631,012 		662,751 82,393
Property and equipment, net	 278,341	1,329,181 709,817 189	 (278,530)	1,329,181 709,817
Other noncurrent assets	24,974	61,275		86,249
Total assets	\$ 417,447 =======	\$ 2,731,474 =======	\$ (278,530) =======	\$ 2,870,391 =======
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY (DEFICIT) Current Liabilities:				
Trade accounts payable Deferred revenue	\$ 58	\$ 144,205 282,939	\$ 	\$ 144,263 282,939
Accrued expenses Advances (to) from affiliates, net Current portion of long-term debt	80,890 (703,043) 	534,803 1,461,857 19,642	 	615,693 758,814 19,642
Total current liabilities	(622,095)	2,443,446		1,821,351
Long-term obligations, net of current portion:				
9 1/4% Seven Year Notes	375,000 1,625,000			375,000 1,625,000
other notes payable, net of current portion Long-term deferred distribution and carriage revenue		11,644		11,644
and other long-term liabilities		56,047 		56,047
Total long-term obligations, net of current portion	2,000,000	67,691		2,067,691
Total liabilities	1,377,905	2,511,137		3,889,042
Stockholder's Equity (Deficit): Common Stock, \$.01 par value, 3,000 shares authorized, issued and outstanding		15,405	(15,405)	
Additional paid-in capital Deferred stock-based compensation Accumulated other comprehensive loss	1,440,252 (7)	1,469,059 (58,193)	(1,469,059) 	1,440,252 (58,193) (7)
Accumulated deficit	(2,400,703)	(1,205,934)	1,205,934	(2,400,703)
Total stockholder's equity (deficit)	(960,458)	220,337	(278,530)	(1,018,651)
Total liabilities and stockholder's equity (deficit)	\$ 417,447 ======	\$ 2,731,474 =======	\$ (278,530) =======	\$ 2,870,391 ======

Consolidating Balance Sheets - As of March 31, 2001

	EDBS	S - PC	GUAF ANI	SIDIARY RANTORS D OTHER		C&E		EDBS
ASSETS								
Current Assets: Cash and cash equivalents	\$	(896)	\$	8,469	\$		\$	7,573
Trade accounts receivable, net of allowance for uncollectible accounts of \$9,409				246,629				246,629
Insurance receivable	1	L06,000						106,000
Inventories Other current assets		(21)		148,066				148,066
Other current assets		(21)		26,858				26,837
Total current assets	1	L05,083 78,295		430,022				535,105 78,295
Property and equipment, net			1,	370,481			1	,370,481
FCC authorizations, net			•	705,206				705,206
Investment in subsidiaries	2	267,738		189	(267,927)		
Other noncurrent assets		24,156		59,904				84,060
Total assets	\$ 4	175,272 ======	\$ 2,	565,802	•	267,927) ======	\$ 2	2,773,147 ======
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY (DEFICIT) Current Liabilities:								
Trade accounts payable	\$		\$	77,667	\$		\$	77,667
Deferred revenue				327,120				327,120
Accrued expenses		36,130		565,531				601,661
Advances (to) from affiliates, net	(5	541,902) 	•	. 274, 560 15, 940				732,658 15,940
Total current liabilities	(5	505,772)		260,818				,755,046
Long-term obligations, net of current portion:								
9 1/4% Seven Year Notes	3	375,000						375,000
9 3/8% Ten Year Notes	1,6	325,000					1	, 625, 000
portion Long-term deferred distribution and carriage revenue				11,502				11,502
and other long-term liabilities				75,692				75,692
Total long-term obligations, net of current portion		000,000		87,194				,087,194
Total liabilities	1,4	194,228		348,012			3	,842,240
Stockholder's Equity (Deficit): Common Stock, \$.01 par value, 3,000 shares								
authorized, issued and outstanding				15,405		(15,405)		
Additional paid-in capital	1,4	139,652	1,	468,459		468, 459)	1	, 439, 652
Deferred stock-based compensation	•		•	(50,137)				(50, 137)
Accumulated deficit	. ,	158,608)		215,937)	1,	215,937	•	, 458, 608)
Total stockholder's equity (deficit)	(1,6	018,956) ======		217,790 ======		267,927) ======	(1	.,069,093) ======
Total liabilities and stockholder's					-			
equity (deficit)	\$ 4	175,272	\$ 2,	565,802	\$ (267,927)	\$ 2	,773,147

Consolidating Statements of Operations - Three Months Ended March 31, 2000

	EDBS - PC	SUBSIDIARY GUARANTORS AND OTHER	C&E	EDBS
REVENUE:				
DISH Network:				
Subscription television services	\$	\$ 476,305	\$	\$ 476,305
Other		2,011	(77)	1,934
Total DISH Network		478,316	(77)	478,239
DTH equipment sales and integration services		60,815		60,815
Other		29,004		29,004
Total revenue		568,135	(77)	568,058
COSTS AND EXPENSES:				
DISH Network Operating Expenses:				
Subscriber-related expenses		203,960		203,960
Customer service center and other		56,122	(73)	56,049
Satellite and transmission		12,324		12,324
		,		,
Total DISH Network operating expenses		272,406	(73)	272,333
Cost of sales - DTH equipment and integration services		46,926	2	46,928
Cost of sales - other		8,115		8,115
		•		•
Marketing:				
Subscriber promotion subsidies		256,023	3	256,026
Advertising and other		23,173	(8)	23,165
Total marketing expenses		279,196	(5)	279,191
General and administrative	4	53,592	(1)	53,595
Non-cash, stock-based compensation		14,009		14,009
Depreciation and amortization		39,343		39,343
			4	
Total costs and expenses	4	713,587	(77)	713,514
Operating loss	(4)	(145, 452)		(145, 456)
operating 1055	(4)	(143,432)		(143,430)
Other Income (Expense):				
Interest income	1,712	1,490		3,202
Interest expense	(47,632)	(985)		(48,617)
Equity in loss of subsidiaries	(145, 259)	` ´	145,259	`
Other		(279)	, 	(279)
Total other income (expense)	(191,179)	226	145,259	(45,694)
Loss before income taxes	(191,183)	(145, 226)	145,259	(191,150)
Income tax provision, net		(33)		(33)
Net loss	\$(191,183)	\$(145,259)	\$ 145,259	\$(191,183)
	========	========	========	========

Consolidating Statements of Operations - Three Months Ended March 31, 2001

	EDBS - PC	SUBSIDIARY GUARANTORS AND OTHER	C&E	EDBS
REVENUE:				
DISH Network:				
Subscription television services	\$	\$ 793,538	\$	\$ 793,538
Other		3,436		3,436
Total DISH Network		796,974		796,974
DTH equipment sales and integration services		39,686	(1)	39,685
Other		21,647		21,647
Total revenue		858,307	(1)	858,306
COSTS AND EXPENSES:				
DISH Network Operating Expenses:				
Subscriber-related expenses		319,337		319,337
Customer service center and other		64,783		64,783
Satellite and transmission		8,810		8,810
Total DISH Network operating expenses		392,930		392,930
Cost of sales - DTH equipment and integration services	(300)	29,075	(1)	28,774
Cost of sales - other	` ´	13,676		13,676
Marketing:				
Subscriber promotion subsidies		274,158		274,158
Advertising and other		26,554		26,554
•				
Total marketing expenses		300,712		300,712
General and administrative	512	71,203		71,715
Non-cash, stock-based compensation		7,456		7,456
Depreciation and amortization		55, 505		55, 505
Total costs and expenses	212	870,557	(1)	870,768
Operating loss	(212)	(12,250)		(12,462)
Other Income (Expense):				
Interest income	487	2,839		3,326
Interest expense	(47,577)	(491)		(48,068)
Equity in loss of subsidiaries	(10,003)	(404)	10,003	(704)
Other	(600)	(101)		(701)
Total other income (expense)	(57,693)	2,247	10,003	(45,443)
Loss before income taxes	(57,905)	(10,003)	10,003	(57,905)
Income tax provision, net				'
Net loss	\$ (57,905)	\$ (10,003)	\$ 10,003	\$ (57,905)
	=======	=======	=======	=======

Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows - Three Months Ended March 31, 2000

	EDBS - PC	SUBSIDIARY GUARANTORS AND OTHER	C&E	EDBS
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: Net loss	\$(191,183)	\$(145,259)	\$ 145,259	\$(191,183)
Equity in losses of subsidiaries Deferred stock-based compensation	145,259	(3,009)	(142,250)	
(forfeitures) recognized Depreciation and amortization Amortization of debt discount and		14,009 39,343		14,009 39,343
deferred financing costs Change in reserve for excess and	820			820
obsolete inventory		305		305
other long-term liabilities		7,448		7,448
Other, net Changes in current assets and		1,007		1,007
current liabilities	(51,159)	52,274		1,115
Net cash flows from operating activities	(96,263)	(33,882)	3,009	(127,136)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:				
Sales of marketable investment securities Purchases of property and equipment	14,770 	(18,055)		14,770 (18,055)
Net cash flows from investing activities	14,770	(18,055)		(3,285)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: Non-interest bearing advances from affiliates Repayments of mortgage indebtedness	(5,551)	89,678	(3,009)	81,118
and other notes payable		(4,217)		(4,217)
Net cash flows from financing activities	(5,551)	85,461	(3,009)	76,901
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(87,044)	33,524		(53,520)
of year	120,133	39,628		159,761
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 33,089 ======	\$ 73,152 ======	\$ ======	\$ 106,241 ======

Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows - Three Months Ended March 31, 2001

	EDBS - PC	SUBSIDIARY GUARANTORS AND OTHER	C&E	EDBS
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: Net loss	\$ (57,905)	\$ (10,003)	\$ 10,003	\$ (57,905)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash flows from operating activities:	\$ (37,903)	Ψ (10,003)	Ψ 10,003	φ (37,903)
Equity in losses of subsidiaries Deferred stock-based compensation	10,003		(10,003)	
(forfeitures) recognized		7,456		7,456
Depreciation and amortization		55,505		55,505
deferred financing costs	818	2		820
obsolete inventory		(679)		(679)
other long-term liabilities		19,645		19,645
Other, net		1,350		1,350
current liabilities	(44,731)	37,304		(7,427)
Net cash flows from operating activities	(91,815)	110,580		18,765
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:				
Sales of marketable investment securities Change in cash reserved for satellite	4,999			4,999
insurance due to depreciation on related satellites	4,098			4,098
Purchases of property and equipment		(81,861)		(81,861)
Net cash flows from investing activities	9,097	(81,861)		(72,764)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: Non-interest bearing advances from				
affiliates	161,141	(187,297)		(26,156)
and other notes payable		(3,844)		(3,844)
Net cash flows from financing activities	161,141	(191,141)		(30,000)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and				
cash equivalents	78,423	(162,422)		(83,999)
of year	(79,319)	170,891		91,572
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ (896) ======	\$ 8,469 ======	\$ =======	\$ 7,573 ======

SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

EchoStar VI

EchoStar VI is equipped with a total of 48 transponders, including 16 spares. During April, 2001, EchoStar VI experienced a series of anomalous events resulting in a temporary interruption of service. The satellite was quickly restored to normal operations mode. However, spare transponders and a station-keeping thruster were activated while the anomaly investigation period proceeds. The satellite is equipped with a substantial number of backup transponders and thrusters. Consequently, the anomalous events have not impacted commercial operation of the satellite. However, until the root cause of the most recent anomaly is finally determined, there can be no assurance future similar anomalies will not cause further losses which could impact commercial operation of the satellite.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S NARRATIVE ANALYSIS OF RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

All statements contained herein, as well as statements made in press releases and oral statements that may be made by us or by officers, directors or employees acting on our behalf, that are not statements of historical fact constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause our actual results to be materially different from historical results or from any future results expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Among the factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially are the following: a total or partial loss of one or more satellites due to operational failures, space debris or otherwise; delays in the construction of our seventh, eighth or ninth satellites; an unsuccessful deployment of future satellites; inability to settle outstanding claims with insurers; a decrease in sales of digital equipment and related services to international direct-to-home service providers; a decrease in DISH Network subscriber growth; an increase in subscriber turnover; an increase in subscriber acquisition costs; an inability to obtain certain retransmission consents; our inability to retain necessary authorizations from the FCC; an inability to obtain patent licenses from holders of intellectual property or redesign our products to avoid patent infringement; an increase in competition from cable as a result of digital cable or otherwise, direct broadcast satellite, other satellite system operators, and other providers of subscription television services; the introduction of new technologies and competitors into the subscription television business; a change in the regulations governing the subscription television service industry; the outcome of any litigation in which we may be involved; general business and economic conditions; and other risk factors described from time to time in our reports and statements filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. In addition to statements that explicitly describe such risks and uncertainties, readers are urged to consider statements that include the terms "believes, "belief," "expects," "plans," "anticipates," "intends" or the like to be uncertain and forward-looking. All cautionary statements made herein should be read as being applicable to all forward-looking statements wherever they appear. In this connection, investors should consider the risks described herein and should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Three Months Ended March 31, 2001 Compared to the Three Months Ended March 31, 2000.

Revenue. Total revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2001 was \$858 million, an increase of \$290 million compared to total revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2000 of \$568 million. The increase in total revenue was primarily attributable to DISH Network subscriber growth. We expect that our revenues will continue to increase significantly as the number of DISH Network subscribers increases.

DISH Network subscription television services revenue totaled \$794 million for the three months ended March 31, 2001, an increase of \$318 million compared to the same period in 2000. DISH Network subscription television services revenue principally consists of revenue from basic, premium and pay-per-view subscription television services. This increase was directly attributable to the increase in the number of DISH Network subscribers and higher average revenue per subscriber. DISH Network added approximately 460,000 net new subscribers for the three months ended March 31, 2001 compared to approximately 455,000 net subscriber additions during the same period in 2000. As of March 31, 2001, we had approximately 5.7 million DISH Network subscribers compared to approximately 3.9 million at March 31, 2000, an increase of approximately 48%. The subscriber growth reflects the impact of aggressive marketing promotions, including our free installation program, together with increased interest in satellite television resulting from the availability of local network channels by satellite. DISH Network subscription television services revenue will continue to increase to the extent $\dot{\mathbf{w}}$ are successful in increasing the number of DISH Network subscribers and maintaining or increasing revenue per subscriber. While there can be no assurance, assuming the U.S. economy continues to grow at a slow pace, we expect to add approximately 1.5 to 2.0 million net new subscribers during 2001, and to obtain a majority of all net new DBS subscribers.

Monthly average revenue per subscriber was approximately \$48.23 during the three months ended March 31, 2001 and approximately \$43.85 during the same period in 2000. The increase in monthly average revenue per subscriber is primarily attributable to a \$1.00 price increase in America's Top 100 CD, our most popular programming package, during both May 2000 and February 2001, the increased availability of local channels by satellite, the successful introduction of our \$39.99 per month America's Top 150 programming package during April 2000 together with an increase in subscriber penetration in our higher priced Digital Home Plans. While there can be no

assurance, we expect our moderate historical increases in revenue per subscriber to continue during 2001 and expect to reach monthly average revenue per subscriber of approximately \$50 by the end of December 2001.

For the three months ended March 31, 2001, DTH equipment sales and integration services totaled \$40 million, a decrease of \$21 million compared to the same period during 2000. DTH equipment sales consist of sales of digital set-top boxes and other digital satellite broadcasting equipment to international DTH service operators and sales of DBS accessories. This decrease in DTH equipment sales and integration services revenue was primarily attributable to a decrease in international demand for digital set-top boxes as compared to the same period during 2000.

A significant portion of DTH equipment sales and integration services revenues have resulted from sales to two international DTH providers. We currently have agreements to provide equipment to DTH service operators in Spain and Canada. Our future revenue from the sale of DTH equipment and integration services in international markets depends largely on the success of these DTH operators and continued demand for our digital set-top boxes. While we have binding purchase orders from both providers for 2001, we expect overall demand for 2001 to be lower than the same period in 2000. As a result, we expect total DTH equipment sales and integration services revenue to decrease in 2001 compared to 2000. Although we continue to actively pursue additional distribution and integration service opportunities internationally, no assurance can be given that any such efforts will be successful.

In order, among other things, to commence compliance with the injunction issued against us in our pending litigation with the four major broadcast networks and their affiliate groups, we have terminated the delivery of distant network channels to certain of our subscribers. Additionally, during 2000, the FCC issued rules which impair our ability to deliver certain superstation channels to our customers. Those rules will increase the cost of our delivery of superstations, and could require that we terminate the delivery of certain superstations to a material portion of our subscriber base. In combination, these terminations would result in a small reduction in average monthly revenue per subscriber and could increase subscriber turnover. While there can be no assurance, any such decreases could be offset by increases in average monthly revenue per subscriber resulting from the delivery of local network channels by satellite, and increases in other programming offerings.

DISH Network Operating Expenses. DISH Network operating expenses totaled \$393 million during the three months ended March 31, 2001, an increase of \$121 million or 44% compared to the same period in 2000. DISH Network operating expenses represented 50% and 57% of subscription television services revenue during the three months ended March 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively. The increase in DISH Network operating expenses in total was consistent with, and primarily attributable to, the increase in the number of DISH Network subscribers. We expect to continue to control costs and create operating efficiencies. While there can be no assurance, we expect operating expenses as a percentage of subscription television services revenue to remain near current levels during the remainder of 2001.

Subscriber-related expenses totaled \$319 million during the three months ended March 31, 2001, an increase of \$115 million compared to the same period in 2000. Such expenses, which include programming expenses, copyright royalties, residuals currently payable to retailers and distributors, and billing, lockbox and other variable subscriber expenses, represented 40% and 43% of subscription television services revenues during the three months ended March 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively. Although we do not currently expect subscriber-related expenses as a percentage of subscription television services revenue to increase materially in future periods, there can be no assurance this expense to revenue ratio will not materially increase.

Customer service center and other expenses principally consist of costs incurred in the operation of our DISH Network customer service centers, such as personnel and telephone expenses, as well as other operating expenses related to our service and installation business. Customer service center and other expenses totaled \$65 million during the three months ended March 31, 2001, an increase of \$9 million as compared to the same period in 2000. The increase in customer service center and other expenses primarily resulted from increased personnel and telephone expenses to support the growth of the DISH Network and from operating expenses related to the expansion of our installation and service business. Customer service center and other expenses totaled 8% of subscription television services revenue during the three months ended March 31, 2001, as compared to 12% during the same period in 2000. The decrease in this expense to revenue ratio primarily resulted from the on-going construction and start-up costs of our

fifth customer service center in Virginia and our sixth customer service center in West Virginia during 2000. While there can be no assurance, we expect these expenses in total, and as a percentage of subscription television services revenue, to remain near current levels during the remainder of 2001. We continue to work to automate simple phone responses, and intend to increase internet based customer assistance in the future, in order to better manage customer service costs.

Satellite and transmission expenses include expenses associated with the operation of our digital broadcast center, contracted satellite telemetry, tracking and control services, and commercial satellite in-orbit insurance premiums. Satellite and transmission expenses totaled \$9 million during the three months ended March 31, 2001, a \$3 million decrease compared to the same period in 2000. This decrease resulted from the expiration of the commercial in-orbit satellite insurance policies for EchoStar I, EchoStar II and EchoStar III during July 2000. As discussed below, we are currently self-insuring these satellites. Satellite and transmission expenses totaled 1% and 3% of subscription television services revenue during the three months ended March 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively. We expect satellite and transmission expenses in total and as a percentage of subscription television services revenue, to increase in the future as additional satellites or digital broadcast centers are placed in service and to the extent we successfully renegotiate commercial in-orbit insurance.

Cost of sales - DTH equipment and Integration Services. Cost of sales - DTH equipment and integration services totaled \$29 million during the three months ended March 31, 2001, a decrease of \$18 million compared to the same period in 2000. Cost of sales - DTH equipment and integration services principally includes costs associated with digital set-top boxes and related components sold to international DTH operators and DBS accessories. This decrease in cost of sales - DTH equipment and integration services is consistent with the decrease in DTH equipment sales and integration services revenue. Cost of sales - DTH equipment and integration services revenue, during the three months ended March 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

Marketing Expenses. We subsidize the cost and installation of EchoStar receiver systems in order to attract new DISH Network subscribers. Consequently, our subscriber acquisition costs are significant. Marketing expenses totaled \$301 million during the three months ended March 31, 2001, an increase of \$22 million compared to the same period in 2000. The increase in marketing expenses was primarily attributable to an increase in subscriber promotion subsidies. Subscriber promotion subsidies - promotional DTH equipment includes the cost related to EchoStar receiver systems distributed to retailers and other distributors of our equipment. Subscriber promotion subsidies - other includes net costs related to our free installation promotion and other promotional incentives. Advertising and other expenses totaled \$27 million and \$23 million during the three months ended March 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

During the three months ended March 31, 2001, our marketing promotions included our Digital Home Plan, Free Now and a free installation program. Our subscriber acquisition costs under these programs are significantly higher than those under our marketing programs historically.

During July 2000, we announced the commencement of our new Digital Dynamite promotion. This promotion was re-named the Digital Home Plan effective February 1, 2001. The Digital Home Plan offers four choices to consumers, ranging from the use of one EchoStar receiver system and our America's Top 100 CD programming package for \$35.99 per month, to providing consumers two EchoStar receiver systems and our America's Top 150 programming package for \$49.99 per month. With each plan, consumers receive in-home-service, must agree to a one-year commitment and incur a one-time set-up fee of \$49.99, which includes the first month's programming payment.

During February 2001, we announced our Free Now promotion offering all new subscribers a free base-level EchoStar receiver system and free installation. To be eligible for this program, a subscriber must provide a valid major credit card and make a one-year commitment to subscribe to either our America's Top 150 programming package or our America's Top 100 CD or DISH Latino Dos programming package plus additional programming totaling at least \$39.98 per month. Subscriber acquisition costs are materially higher under this plan compared to historical promotions. To the extent that actual consumer participation levels exceed present expectations, subscriber acquisition costs may increase. Although there can be no assurance as to the ultimate duration of the Free Now promotion, we intend to continue it through at least May 2001.

We subsidize the cost and installation of EchoStar receiver systems in order to attract new DISH Network subscribers. There is no clear industry standard used in the calculation of subscriber acquisition costs. Our subscriber acquisition costs include subscriber promotion subsidies promotional DTH equipment, subscriber promotion subsidies - other and DISH Network acquisition marketing expenses. During the three months ended March 31, 2001, our subscriber acquisition costs totaled approximately \$297 million, or approximately \$432 per new subscriber activation. Since we retain ownership of the equipment, amounts capitalized under our Digital Home Plan are not included in our calculation of these subscriber acquisition costs. Comparatively, our subscriber acquisition costs during the three months ended March 31, 2000, prior to the introduction of our Digital Home Plan, totaled \$273 million, or approximately \$467 per new subscriber activation. The increase in our total subscriber acquisition expenses principally resulted from strong DISH Network subscriber growth during the three months ended March 31, 2001. As a result of continuing competition and our plans to attempt to continue to drive rapid subscriber growth, we expect our per subscriber acquisition costs for 2001 will remain in a range consistent with our 2000 average of approximately \$452 per new subscriber activation.

Our subscriber acquisition costs, both in the aggregate and on a per new subscriber activation basis, may materially increase further to the extent that we continue or expand our Free Now program, or introduce other more aggressive promotions if we determine that they are necessary to respond to competition, or for other reasons.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses totaled \$72 million during the three months ended March 31, 2001, an increase of \$18 million as compared to the same period in 2000. The increase in G&A expenses was principally attributable to increased personnel expenses to support the growth of the DISH Network. G&A expenses represented 8% and 9% of total revenue during the three months ended March 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively. Although we expect G&A expenses as a percentage of total revenue to remain near the current level or decline modestly in future periods, this expense to revenue ratio could increase.

Non-cash, Stock-based Compensation. During 1999, we adopted an incentive plan which provided certain key employees with incentives including stock options. The payment of these incentives was contingent upon our achievement of certain financial and other goals. We met certain of these goals during 1999. Accordingly, during 1999, we recorded approximately \$179 million of deferred compensation related to post-grant appreciation of stock options granted pursuant to the 1999 incentive plan. The related deferred compensation will be recognized over the five-year vesting period. Accordingly, during the three months ended March 31, 2001 and 2000 we recognized \$7 million and \$14 million, respectively, under this performance-based plan.

We report all non-cash compensation based on stock option appreciation as a single expense category in our accompanying statements of operations. The following table represents the other expense categories in our statements of operations that would be affected if non-cash, stock-based compensation was allocated to the same expense categories as the base compensation for key employees who participate in the 1999 incentive plan:

	MARCH 2000		ARCH 31, 2	31, 2001	
Customer service center and other	\$	655	\$	233	
Satellite and transmission	12	655 699	•	466 5,757	
Total non-cash, stock-based compensation	\$14 ===	, 009	\$ 7 ===	7, 456	

Pre-Marketing Cash Flow. Pre-marketing cash flow is comprised of EBITDA plus total marketing expenses. Pre-marketing cash flow was \$351 million during the three months ended March 31, 2001, an increase of 88% compared to the same period in 2000. Our pre-marketing cash flow as a percentage of total revenue was approximately 40% during the three months ended March 31, 2001 compared to 33% during the same period in 2000. We believe that pre-marketing cash flow can help to measure of operating efficiency for companies in the DBS industry. While there can be no assurance, we expect pre-marketing cash flow as a percentage of total revenue to remain near the current level during the remainder of 2001.

Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization. EBITDA represents earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization, and non-cash, stock-based compensation. EBITDA was \$50 million during the three months ended March 31, 2001, compared to negative \$92 million during the same period in 2000. This improvement in EBITDA was directly attributable to the increase in the number of DISH Network subscribers and higher average revenue per subscriber, resulting in recurring revenue which was large enough to support the cost of new and existing subscribers, together with the introduction of our Digital Home Plan in July 2000. Our calculation of EBITDA for the three months ended March 31, 2001 and 2000 does not include approximately \$7 million and \$14 million, respectively, of non-cash compensation expense resulting from post-grant appreciation of employee stock options. While there can be no assurance, we expect to continue to have positive EBITDA for the year ended December 31, 2001. As previously discussed, to the extent we expand our current marketing promotions and our subscriber acquisition costs materially increase, our EBITDA results will be negatively impacted because subscriber acquisition costs are generally expensed as incurred.

It is important to note that EBITDA and pre-marketing cash flow do not represent cash provided or used by operating activities. EBITDA and pre-marketing cash flow should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for measures of performance prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization expenses aggregated \$56 million during the three months ended March 31, 2001, a \$17 million increase compared to the same period in 2000. The increase in depreciation and amortization expenses principally resulted from an increase in depreciation related to the commencement of operation of EchoStar VI in October 2000 and other depreciable assets placed in service during late 2000.

Other Income and Expense. Other expense, net, totaled \$45 million during the three months ended March 31, 2001, compared to \$46 million during the same period in 2000.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

DirecTV

During February 2000, EchoStar filed suit against DirecTV and Thomson Consumer Electronics/RCA in the Federal District Court of Colorado. The suit alleges that DirecTV has utilized improper conduct in order to fend off competition from the DISH Network. According to the complaint, DirecTV has demanded that certain retailers stop displaying EchoStar's merchandise and has threatened to cause economic damage to retailers if they continue to offer both product lines in head-to-head competition. The suit alleges, among other things, that DirecTV has acted in violation of federal and state anti-trust laws in order to protect DirecTV's market share. EchoStar is seeking injunctive relief and monetary damages. EchoStar subsequently amended the Complaint adding claims against Circuit City, Radio Shack and Best Buy, alleging that these retailers are engaging in improper conduct that has had an anti-competitive impact on EchoStar. It is too early in the litigation to make an assessment of the probable outcome. During October 2000, DirecTV filed a motion for summary judgment asking that the Court enter judgment in DirecTV's favor on certain of EchoStar's claims. DirecTV's motion for summary judgment remains pending.

The DirecTV defendants filed a counterclaim against EchoStar. DirecTV alleges that EchoStar tortiously interfered with a contract that DirecTV allegedly had with Kelly Broadcasting Systems, Inc. ("KBS"). DirecTV alleges that EchoStar "merged" with KBS, in contravention of DirecTV's contract with KBS. DirecTV also alleges that EchoStar has falsely advertised to consumers about its right to offer network programming. DirecTV further alleges that EchoStar improperly used certain marks owned by PrimeStar, now owned by DirecTV. Finally, DirecTV alleges that EchoStar has been marketing National Football League games in a misleading manner. Discovery has been stayed until the next scheduling conference on June 13, 2001. The amount of damages DirecTV is seeking is as yet unquantified. However, in an arbitration proceeding related to DirecTV's allegations with respect to KBS, DirecTV has claimed damages totaling hundreds of millions of dollars. It is too early in the litigation to make an assessment of the probable outcome. EchoStar and KBS intend to vigorously defend against DirecTV's allegations in the litigation and in the arbitration.

Fee Dispute

EchoStar had a contingent fee arrangement with the attorneys who represented EchoStar in the litigation with News Corporation. The contingent fee arrangement provides for the attorneys to be paid a percentage of any net recovery obtained by EchoStar in the News Corporation litigation. The attorneys have asserted that they may be entitled to receive payments totaling hundreds of millions of dollars under this fee arrangement.

During mid-1999, EchoStar initiated litigation against the attorneys in the Arapahoe County, Colorado, District Court arguing that the fee arrangement is void and unenforceable. In December 1999, the attorneys initiated an arbitration proceeding before the American Arbitration Association. The litigation has been stayed while the arbitration is ongoing. The arbitration hearing commenced April 2, 2001 and continued through April 13, 2001. The hearing could not be completed during that time period and has been continued until August 7, 2001, when it will resume until it is presumably completed. While there can be no assurance that the attorneys will not continue to claim a right to hundreds of millions of dollars, the damage model the attorneys presented during the arbitration was for \$56 million. EchoStar believes that even that amount significantly overstates the amount the attorneys should reasonably be entitled to receive under the fee agreement but it is not possible for EchoStar to predict what the decision of the three person arbitrator panel will be with any degree of certainty. EchoStar continues to vigorously contest the attorneys' interpretation of the fee arrangement, which EchoStar believes significantly overstates the magnitude of its liability.

WIC Premium Television Ltd.

During July 1998, a lawsuit was filed by WIC Premium Television Ltd., an Alberta corporation, in the Federal Court of Canada Trial Division, against General Instrument Corporation, HBO, Warner Communications, Inc., John Doe, Showtime, United States Satellite Broadcasting Company, Inc., EchoStar Communications Corporation, and two of EchoStar's wholly-owned subsidiaries, Echosphere Corporation and Dish, Ltd. The lawsuit

seeks, among other things, an interim and permanent injunction prohibiting the defendants from activating receivers in Canada and from infringing any copyrights held by WIC. It is too early to determine whether or when any other lawsuits or claims will be filed.

During September 1998, WIC filed another lawsuit in the Court of Queen's Bench of Alberta Judicial District of Edmonton against certain defendants, including EchoStar. WIC is a company authorized to broadcast certain copyrighted work, such as movies and concerts, to residents of Canada. WIC alleges that the defendants engaged in, promoted, and/or allowed satellite dish equipment from the United States to be sold in Canada and to Canadian residents and that some of the defendants allowed and profited from Canadian residents purchasing and viewing subscription television programming that is only authorized for viewing in the United States. The lawsuit seeks, among other things, an interim and permanent injunction prohibiting the defendants from importing hardware into Canada and from activating receivers in Canada, together with damages in excess of \$175 million.

EchoStar filed motions to dismiss each of the actions for lack of personal jurisdiction. The Court in the Alberta action recently denied EchoStar's Motion to Dismiss, which EchoStar appealed. The Alberta Court also granted a motion to add more EchoStar parties to the lawsuit. EchoStar Satellite Corporation, EDBS, EchoStar Technologies Corporation, and EchoStar Satellite Broadcast Corporation have been added as defendants in the litigation. The newly added defendants have also challenged jurisdiction. The Court of Appeals denied EchoStar's appeal and the Alberta Court has asserted jurisdiction over all of the EchoStar defendants. The Court in the Federal action has stayed that case pending the outcome of the Alberta action. The case is now currently in discovery. EchoStar intends to vigorously defend the suit. It is too early to make an assessment of the probable outcome of the litigation or to determine the extent of any potential liability or damages.

Broadcast network programming

Until July 1998, EchoStar obtained distant broadcast network channels (ABC, NBC, CBS and FOX) for distribution to its customers through PrimeTime 24. In December 1998, the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida entered a nationwide permanent injunction requiring PrimeTime 24 to shut off distant network channels to many of its customers, and henceforth to sell those channels to consumers in accordance with certain stipulations in the injunction.

In October 1998, EchoStar filed a declaratory judgment action against ABC, NBC, CBS and FOX in Denver Federal Court. EchoStar asked the court to enter a judgment declaring that its method of providing distant network programming did not violate the Satellite Home Viewer Act and hence did not infringe the networks' copyrights. In November 1998, the networks and their affiliate groups filed a complaint against EchoStar in Miami Federal Court alleging, among other things, copyright infringement. The court combined the case that EchoStar filed in Colorado with the case in Miami and transferred it to the Miami court. The case remains pending in Miami. While the networks have not sought monetary damages, they have sought to recover attorney fees if they prevail.

In February 1999, the networks filed a "Motion for Temporary Restraining Order, Preliminary Injunction and Contempt Finding" against DirecTV, Inc. in Miami related to the delivery of distant network channels to DirecTV customers by satellite. DirecTV settled this lawsuit with the networks. Under the terms of the settlement between DirecTV and the networks, some DirecTV customers were scheduled to lose access to their satellite-provided distant network channels by July 31, 1999, while other DirecTV customers were to be disconnected by December 31, 1999. Subsequently, PrimeTime 24 and substantially all providers of satellite-delivered network programming other than EchoStar agreed to this cut-off schedule, although EchoStar does not know if they adhered to this schedule.

In December 1998, the networks filed a Motion for Preliminary Injunction against EchoStar in the Miami court, and asked the court to enjoin EchoStar from providing network programming except under limited circumstances. A preliminary injunction hearing was held on September 21, 1999. The court took the issues under advisement to consider the networks' request for an injunction, whether to hear live testimony before ruling upon the request, and whether to hear argument on why the Satellite Home Viewer Act may be unconstitutional, among other things.

In March 2000, the networks filed an emergency motion again asking the court to issue an injunction requiring EchoStar to turn off network programming to certain of its customers. At that time, the networks also argued that EchoStar's compliance procedures violate the Satellite Home Viewer Improvement Act. EchoStar opposed the networks' motion and again asked the court to hear live testimony before ruling upon the networks' injunction request.

During September 2000, the Court granted the Networks' motion for preliminary injunction, denied the Network's emergency motion and denied EchoStar's request to present live testimony and evidence. The Court's original order required EchoStar to terminate network programming to certain subscribers "no later than February 15, 1999," and contained other dates which would be physically impossible to comply with. The order imposes restrictions on EchoStar's past and future sale of distant ABC, NBC, CBS and Fox channels similar to those imposed on PrimeTime 24 (and, EchoStar believes, on DirecTV and others). Some of those restrictions go beyond the statutory requirements imposed by the Satellite Home Viewer Act and the Satellite Home Viewer Improvement Act. For these and other reasons EchoStar believes the Court's order is, among other things, fundamentally flawed, unconstitutional and should be overturned. However, it is very unusual for a Court of Appeals to overturn a lower court's order and there can be no assurance whatsoever that it will be overturned.

On October 3, 2000, and again on October 25, 2000, the Court amended its original preliminary injunction order in an effort to fix some of the errors in the original order. The twice amended preliminary injunction order required EchoStar to shut off, by February 15, 2001, all subscribers who are ineligible to receive distant network programming under the court's order. EchoStar has appealed the September 2000 preliminary injunction order and the October 3, 2000 amended preliminary injunction order. On November 22, 2000, the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit stayed the Florida Court's preliminary injunction order pending EchoStar's appeal. At that time, the Eleventh Circuit also expedited its consideration of EchoStar's appeal.

During November 2000, EchoStar filed its appeal brief with the Eleventh Circuit. During December 2000, the Satellite Broadcasting and Communications Association submitted an amicus brief in support of EchoStar's appeal. The Consumer Federation of America and the Media Access Project have also submitted an amicus brief in support of EchoStar's appeal. The Networks have responded to EchoStar's appeal brief and the amicus briefs filed by the Consumer Federation of America and the Media Access Project and the Satellite Broadcasting and Communications Association. In December 2000, the Department of Justice filed a motion to intervene with respect to EchoStar's constitutional challenge of the Satellite Home Viewers Act, and the National Association of Broadcasters filed an amicus brief in support of the Networks' position in the appeal. During January 2001, EchoStar filed its reply appeal brief and asked the Eleventh Circuit for an opportunity to respond to the amicus brief filed by the National Association of Broadcasters and the brief filed by the Department of Justice. On January 11, 2001, the Networks advised the Eleventh Circuit that they did not object to EchoStar's filing a response to the National Association of Broadcasters' amicus brief or the Department of Justice's brief. On January 19, 2001, EchoStar filed its supplemental brief responding to the Department of Justice's brief. On January 23, 2001, the Department of Justice filed a motion to strike EchoStar's supplemental brief or for an opportunity to reply to EchoStar's supplemental brief. On February 2, 2001, without explanation, the Eleventh Circuit issued an order striking EchoStar's supplemental reply and denying EchoStar an opportunity to file a response to the Department of Justice's motion to intervene. The Eleventh Circuit has currently set oral argument for May 24, 2001. EchoStar cannot predict when the Eleventh Circuit will rule on its appeal, but it could be as early as May 2001. EchoStar's appeal effort may not be successful and EchoStar may be required to comply with the Court's preliminary injunction order on short notice. The preliminary injunction could force EchoStar to terminate delivery of distant network channels to a substantial portion of its distant network subscriber base, which could also cause many of these subscribers to cancel their subscription to EchoStar's other services. Such terminations would result in a small reduction in EchoStar's reported average monthly revenue per subscriber and could result in a temporary increase in churn.

Starsight

During October 2000, Starsight Telecast, Inc., a subsidiary of Gemstar-TV Guide, filed a suit for patent infringement against EchoStar and certain of its subsidiaries in the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina, Asheville Division. The suit alleges infringement of United States Patent No. 4,706,121 (the "121 Patent") which relates to certain electronic program guide functions. EchoStar has examined this patent and believes that it is not infringed by any of its products or services.

In December 2000, EchoStar filed suit against Gemstar - TV Guide International, Inc. (and certain of its subsidiaries) in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado alleging violations by Gemstar of various federal and state anti-trust laws and laws governing unfair competition. The lawsuit seeks an injunction and monetary damages. The Court recently denied a motion by Gemstar to transfer this case to the Western District of North Carolina.

In February 2001, Gemstar filed patent infringement actions against EchoStar in District Court in Atlanta, Georgia and in the International Trade Commission (ITC). These suits allege infringement of United States Patent Nos. 5,252,066, 5,479,268 and 5,809,204 which all relate to certain electronic program guide functions. In addition, the ITC action alleges infringement of the 121 Patent which is asserted in the North Carolina case. In the Atlanta District Court case, Gemstar seeks damages and an injunction. We expect the Atlanta and North Carolina cases will be stayed pending resolution of the ITC action. ITC actions typically proceed according to an expedited schedule. EchoStar expects the ITC action to go to trial by the end of 2001. EchoStar further expects that the ITC will issue an initial determination by March of 2002 and that a final determination will be issued by June 2002. While the ITC cannot award damages, it can issue exclusion orders that would prevent the importation of articles that are found to infringe the asserted patents. In addition, it can issue cease and desist orders that would prohibit the sale of infringing products that had been previously imported. EchoStar has examined these patents and believes they are not infringed by any of EchoStar's products or services. EchoStar will vigorously contest the ITC, North Carolina and Atlanta allegations of infringement and will, among other things, challenge both the validity and enforceability of the asserted patents.

During 2000, Superguide Corp. also filed suit against EchoStar, DirecTv and others in the same North Carolina Court, alleging infringement of United States Patent Nos. 5,038,211, 5,293,357 and 4,751,578 which relate to certain electronic program guide functions, including the use of electronic program guides to control VCRs. It is EchoStar's understanding that these patents may be licensed by Superguide to Gemstar, although Gemstar has not asserted the patents against EchoStar. Nevertheless, Gemstar was recently added by the Court as a party to this lawsuit. EchoStar has examined these patents and believes that they are not infringed by any of its products or services. EchoStar intends to vigorously defend against this action and assert a variety of counterclaims.

In the event it is ultimately determined that EchoStar infringes on any of the aforementioned patents EchoStar may be subject to substantial damages, and/or an injunction that could require EchoStar to materially modify certain user friendly electronic programming guide and related features it currently offers to consumers. It is too early to make an assessment of the probable outcome of the suits.

IPPV Enterprises

IPPV Enterprises, LLC and MAAST, Inc. filed a patent infringement suit against EchoStar in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware. The suit alleges infringement of 5 patents. The patents disclose various systems for the implementation of features such as impulse-pay-per view, parental control and category lock-out. One patent relates to an encryption technique. Three of the patents have expired. The trial is expected to commence July 9, 2001. EchoStar is vigorously defending against the suit based, among other things, on non-infringement, invalidity and failure to provide notice of alleged infringement.

In the event it is ultimately determined that EchoStar infringes on any of these patents, EchoStar may be subject to substantial damages, and/or an injunction with respect to the two unexpired patents, that could require

EchoStar to materially modify certain user friendly features it currently offers to consumers. It is too early to make an assessment of the probable outcome of the suit

Retailer Class Actions

EchoStar has been sued by retailers in three separate class actions. In two separate lawsuits filed in the District Court, Arapahoe County, State of Colorado and the United States District Court for the District of Colorado, respectively, Air Communication & Satellite, Inc. and John DeJong, et. al. filed lawsuits on October 6, 2000 on behalf of themselves and a class of persons similarly situated. The plaintiffs are attempting to certify nationwide classes allegedly brought on behalf of persons, primarily retail dealers, who were alleged signatories to certain retailer agreements with EchoStar Satellite Corporation. The plaintiffs are requesting the Court to declare certain provisions of the alleged agreements invalid and unenforceable, to declare that certain changes to the agreements are invalid and unenforceable, and to award damages for lost commissions and payments, charge backs, and other compensation. The plaintiffs are alleging breach of contract and breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing and are seeking declaratory relief, compensatory damages, injunctive relief, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest. EchoStar intends to vigorously defend against the suits and to assert a variety of counterclaims. It is too early to make an assessment of the probable outcome of the litigation or to determine the extent of any potential liability or damages.

Satellite Dealers Supply, Inc. filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas on September 25, 2000, on behalf of itself and a class of persons similarly situated. The plaintiff is attempting to certify a nationwide class on behalf of sellers, installers, and servicers of satellite equipment who contract with EchoStar and claims the alleged class has been "subject to improper chargebacks." The plaintiff alleges that (1) EchoStar charged back certain fees paid by members of the class to professional installers in violation of contractual terms; (2) EchoStar manipulated the accounts of subscribers to deny payments to class members; and (3) EchoStar misrepresented to class members who owns certain equipment related to the provision of satellite television service. The plaintiff is requesting a permanent injunction and monetary damages. EchoStar intends to vigorously defend the lawsuit and to assert a variety of counterclaims. It is too early to make an assessment of the probable outcome of the litigation or to determine the extent of any potential liability or damages.

Satellite Insurance

As a result of the failure of EchoStar IV solar arrays to fully deploy and the failure of 28 transponders to date, a maximum of approximately 14 of the 44 transponders on EchoStar IV are available for use at this time. Due to the normal degradation of the solar arrays, the number of available transponders will further decrease over time. In addition to the transponder and solar array failures, EchoStar IV experienced anomalies affecting its thermal systems and propulsion system. There can be no assurance that further material degradation, or total loss of use, of EchoStar IV will not occur in the immediate future.

In September 1998, EchoStar filed a \$219.3 million insurance claim for a constructive total loss under the launch insurance policies covering EchoStar IV. The satellite insurance consists of separate identical policies with different carriers for varying amounts which, in combination, create a total insured amount of \$219.3 million.

The insurance carriers offered EchoStar a total of approximately \$88 million, or 40% of the total policy amount, in settlement of the EchoStar IV insurance claim. The insurers allege that all other impairment to the satellite occurred after expiration of the policy period and is not covered. EchoStar strongly disagrees with the position of the insurers and has filed an arbitration claim against them for breach of contract, failure to pay a valid insurance claim and bad faith denial of a valid claim, among other things. There can be no assurance that EchoStar will receive the amount claimed or, if EchoStar does, that EchoStar will retain title to EchoStar IV with its reduced capacity.

At the time EchoStar filed its claim in 1998, EchoStar recognized an impairment loss of \$106 million to write-down the carrying value of the satellite and related costs, and simultaneously recorded an insurance claim receivable for the same amount. EchoStar continues to believe it will ultimately recover at least the amount

originally recorded and does not intend to adjust the amount of the receivable until there is greater certainty with respect to the amount of the final settlement.

As a result of the thermal and propulsion system anomalies, EchoStar reduced the estimated remaining useful life of EchoStar IV to approximately 4 years during January 2000. EchoStar will continue to evaluate the performance of EchoStar IV and may modify its loss assessment as new events or circumstances develop.

The in-orbit insurance policies for EchoStar I, EchoStar II, and EchoStar III expired July 25, 2000. The insurers have to date refused to renew insurance on EchoStar I, EchoStar II and EchoStar III on reasonable terms. Based on, among other things, the insurance carriers' unanimous refusal to negotiate reasonable renewal insurance coverage, EchoStar believes that the carriers colluded and conspired to boycott EchoStar unless EchoStar accepts their offer to settle the EchoStar IV claim for \$88 million.

Based on the carriers' actions, EchoStar has added causes of action in its EchoStar IV demand for arbitration for breach of the duty of good faith and fair dealing, and unfair claim practices. Additionally, EchoStar filed a lawsuit against the insurance carriers in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado asserting causes of action for violation of Federal and State Antitrust laws. While EchoStar believes it is entitled to the full amount claimed under the EchoStar IV insurance policy and believes the insurance carriers are in violation of Antitrust laws and have committed further acts of bad faith in connection with their refusal to negotiate reasonable insurance coverage on EchoStar's other satellites, there can be no assurance as to the outcome of these proceedings. During March 2001, EchoStar voluntarily dismissed the antitrust lawsuit without prejudice. EchoStar has the right to re-file an antitrust action against the insurers again in the future.

We are subject to various other legal proceedings and claims which arise in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the amount of ultimate liability with respect to those actions will not materially affect our financial position or results of operations.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

(a) Exhibits.

None.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K.

No reports on Form 8-K were filed during the first quarter of 2001.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ECHOSTAR DBS CORPORATION

By: /s/ David K. Moskowitz

David K. Moskowitz Senior Vice President, General Counsel, Secretary and Director

(Duly Authorized Officer)

By: /s/ Michael R. McDonnell

Michael R. McDonnell Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)

Date: May 15, 2001